

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,891	. 07/08/2002		Maria Raidel	KCC 4814 (KC #15,978)	2410
321	7590	10/31/2005		EXAMINER	
SENNIGER			HILL, LAURA C		
ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE 16TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST LOUIS, MO 63102				3761	

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# TAND TAND TO SERVICE OF THE SERVICE

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

DATE MAILED:

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	_	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10/049891				
			EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER
				20051025

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner for Patents** 

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/049,891	RAIDEL ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Laura C. Hill	3761						
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) Moute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	August 2005.							
<u> </u>	nis action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		atters, prosecution as to th	e merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde								
Disposition of Claims								
<u> </u>	tion							
	Claim(s) <u>44-82</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>74-81</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	☑ Claim(s) <u>44-73 and 82</u> is/are rejected. ☑ Claim(s)is/are objected to							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Nor election requirement							
	nor croaten regamement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) ☐ objected t	o by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:</li> <li>1.  Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ul>		. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr			l Stage					
application from the International Bure			-					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies no	ot received.						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	,	Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PT	-O-152\					
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	6) Other: _		U-102j					

Application/Control Number: 10/049,891 Page 2

Art Unit: 3761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 74-81 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 17 August 2005.

#### Claim Language Interpretation

2. The phrase 'fold line extending at least in part laterally of the absorbent body' is interpreted to include but not be limited to the fold line extending in or along a direction other than parallel to the longitudinal axis so as to have at least a lateral component (i.e.: a fold line extending along a lateral axis of the article).

#### Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 23 May 2005 with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 44 under Lassen have been fully considered but they are not persuasive as discussed above. In response to Applicant's arguments on page 15 that 'the references fail to show or suggest at least one fold line extending at least in part laterally of the absorbent body,' Lassen et al. discloses the at least one fold line 24 extending at least in part laterally of the absorbent body as discussed below with respect to claim 44 (column 6, lines 22-25).
- 4. Applicant's arguments, see pages 17-18 with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 50 and 71 under Lassen have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection of claim 50 is made in view of Lassen et al. (EP 0687453)

A1), and further in view of Reising et al. (US 4,988,344) and of claim 71 is made in view of Lassen et al. (EP 0687453 A1), and further in view of Reising et al. (US 4,988,344), and further in view of Uitenbroek et al. (US 5,897,541) as discussed below.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

5. Claims 44-48 and 54-55 and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lassen et al. (EP 0687453 A1; herein 'Lassen'). Regarding claims 44 and 54 Lassen discloses absorbent core 18 of sanitary napkin 10, which absorbs body fluids and conforms to the body, having the tissue construction bi-folded to form two symmetrical square halves and a flexure axis/fold line 24 along longitudinal centerline Y-Y (col. 1, II. 3-5, col. 6, II. 13-22 and col. 10, II. 2-7, figure 3). Lassen further discloses the longitudinal flexure axis/fold line 24 can be positioned transversely, i.e., along the X-X axis and off center from the longitudinal center line Y-Y, and thus extending at least in part laterally of the absorbent body 18 and creating segments foldable relative to one another (col. 6, II. 22-25).

Regarding claims 45-48 Lassen discloses absorbent core which includes a body-facing/inner surface 20 positioned adjacent the cover 12, a garment-facing/outer surface 22 positioned adjacent the baffle 14, a flexure axis 24/fold line with a depth less than unfolded absorbent article thickness that is formed in at least one of the surfaces 20,22, and a depth extending substantially through the entire thickness (fig. 1 and col. 3, II. 55-col. 4, line 1).

Regarding claim 55 Lassen discloses flexure axis 24/fold line forms first and second members 26 and 28/segments, which have a polygonal shape (fig. 1).

Regarding claim 58 Lassen discloses cover layer 12 adapted for contact with wearer's skin, inner layer 20 that is liquid permeable since it is a part of absorbent body 18, baffle 14/backing layer in opposed relation with inner layer 20 and the absorbent body 18 disposed between cover layer 12 and baffle 14/ backing layer (fig. 1).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

6. Claims 49-53 and 56-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lassen et al. (EP 0687453 A1; herein 'Lassen') as applied to claims 44, and further in view of Reising et al. (US 4,988,344; herein 'Reising'). Regarding claim 49 Lassen does not expressly disclose the absorbent body 18 is multi-layered.

Reising discloses multiple layered absorbent core 42 for use in sanitary napkins (col. 1, II. 6-8, col. 2, II. 64-col. 3, line 3) and liquid handling/outer layer 50 and storage/inner layer 52 (col. 4, II. 54-57) for acquiring, distributing, and storing subsequent loadings of liquids (col. 3, lines 1-3). One would be motivated to modify the absorbent core of Lassen with the multi-layered core of Reising to enhance acquiring, distributing, and

Application/Control Number: 10/049,891

Art Unit: 3761

storing subsequent loadings of liquids since both references disclose absorbent cores for handling bodily waste. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the absorbent core, thus providing an absorbent core constructed of at least two layers.

Regarding claim 50 Lassen does not expressly disclose the relative dimensions of a multi-layered absorbent body. Reising discloses storage/inner layer 52 will be have a smaller surface area (and thus a smaller length and/or width) than liquid handling/outer layer 50 (col. 17, II. 40-43) so that the storage/inner layer 52 drains liquid handling/outer layer 50 of much of its acquired liquid load (col. 18, lines 2-4). One would be motivated to modify the absorbent body of Lassen with the multi-layered relative size dimension core of Reising to enhance acquiring, distributing, and storing subsequent loadings of liquids since both references disclose absorbent cores for handling bodily waste. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the absorbent core, thus providing an absorbent core having layers with relative size dimensions.

Regarding claims 51-53 Reising further discloses the inner layer 52 has a surface area of about 25% of the surface area of outer layer 50 (col. 17, II. 30-49).

Regarding claim 56 Lassen discloses inner and outer layers of flexure axis/fold line 24 with multiple slits/scores 36 that extend through a percentage of the inner surface 20 (col. 8, II. 26-27 and fig. 1).

Regarding claim 57 Lassen discloses inner surface 20 nearer the wearer's body than outer surface 22 and discloses inner surface 20 having a polygon shape (fig. 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/049,891

Art Unit: 3761

7. Claims 59-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lassen et al. (EP 0687453 A1; herein 'Lassen') as applied to claim 58, and further in view of Murakami (US 5,387,210; herein 'Murakami'). Regarding claims 59-60 Lassen et al. does not expressly disclose side wings with an adhesion system. Murakami discloses it is well known to include wings extending outward from opposite side edges of a longitudinally central area of said core on sanitary napkins (col. 1, II. 14-19). Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the sanitary napkin of Lassen, thus providing side wings that adhere to the garment to prevent leakage.

Regarding claim 61 Lassen discloses a means for attaching the sanitary napkin 10 to an undergarment-using adhesive placed on the garment side/outer surface of baffle 14/backing layer (col. 13, II. 38-40).

Regarding claim 62 Lassen discloses a transfer layer 23 positioned between the cover 12 and absorbent core 18 for rapidly transporting body fluids into the absorbent core and to reduce the occurrence of rewet and a surfactant/distributing layer sprayed on cover 12 to enhance liquid penetration to the absorbent core 18 (col. 4, II. 2-6 and 47-49).

Regarding claim 63 Lassen discloses an absorbent article as discussed above with respect to claim 59 (col. 2, line 2).

Regarding claim 64 Lassen discloses an absorbent core 18 that can be constructed of creped cellulose wadding (col. 5, II. 48-49). Meyer et al. reference (US 4,798,603), incorporated by reference into the Lassen et al. reference, includes a top

sheet 14 made of synthetic polymers such as polypropylene (col. 4, II. 32-35). Lassen et al. does not expressly disclose the absorbent body comprises coform. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that one of the layers of the absorbent body could comprise Coform, which is a blend of cellulose and polypropylene, since Lassen et al. discloses using synthetic polymers such as cellulose and polypropylene.

Regarding claim 65 Lassen discloses the absorbent core can be constructed from super absorbent polymers (col. 5, line 50).

Regarding claims 66-67 Lassen discloses a cover 12 that encases a central and edge portion of sanitary napkin 10 or alternatively the cover 12 can extend beyond the absorbent core 18 and be peripherally joined by an adhesive or any other joining method known in the art (col. 4, II. 16-27 and fig. 1).

Regarding claims 68-69 Lassen does not expressly disclose the bonds are hot-melt adhesive or welding. One would be motivated to modify the bonding to include hot-melt adhesive or welding since Lassen discloses the use of any adhesive or joining methods, including the aforementioned attachment methods. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the central and edge portions of the absorbent article, thus providing hot-melt adhesive or welding bonded portions.

8. Claim 70 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lassen et al. (EP 0687453 A1; herein 'Lassen'), and further in view of Reising et al. (US 4,988,344; herein 'Reising') as applied to claim 49. Lassen further discloses a transfer layer 23/flow layer positioned between the cover 12 and absorbent core 18 for rapidly

transporting body fluids into the absorbent core and to reduce the occurrence of rewet and a surfactant sprayed on cover 12 to enhance liquid penetration to the absorbent core 18 as discussed in claim 62 (col. 4, II. 2-6 and 47-49).

- 9. Claims 71-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lassen et al. (EP 0687453 A1; herein 'Lassen'), and further in view of Reising et al. (US 4,988,344; herein 'Reising') as applied to claim 49, and further in view of Uitenbroek et al. (US 5,897,541; herein 'Uitenbroek'). Lassen/Reising does not expressly disclose the layers of the absorbent body being differentiated visually via a different color.

  Uitenbroek discloses laminates for use in absorbent garments such as feminine care products (col. 1, II. 8-11), the laminate 10 having second layer 14 with a different coloration than first layer 12 for visual recognition of each layer (col. 1, II. 35-43, figures 1-3). One would be motivated to modify the absorbent layers of Lassen/Reising with colored layers of Uitenbroek for visually differentiation since both references disclose multi-layered absorbent layers for use in personal care articles. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the laminate layers, thus providing visually differentiated multi-colored layered absorbent core.
- et al. (EP 0687453 A1; herein 'Lassen'), and further in view of Murakami (US 5,387,210; herein 'Murakami') as applied to claim 58, and further in view of Reising et al. (US 4,988,344; herein 'Reising'). Meyer et al. ('603), incorporated by reference into Lassen, discloses wrap sheet 30/cover layer that is configured to have an effective average pore

size which is smaller than the effective pore size of the transport layer and functions to reduce and restrict flow back of liquids against the wearer's skin (col. 6, II. 11-14).

Lassen/Murakami *do not expressly disclose* porosity decreasing from the cover to the outermost layer of the absorbent body. Reising discloses a relative capillary difference between the zones 56, 58 surrounding absorbent layers 48, 50, 52 to establish a capillary force gradient (col. 9, II. 27-44). One would be motivated to modify the porosity of the layers to establish a capillary force gradient for improved liquid handling since the references disclose absorbent cores for handling bodily waste. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the layers, thus providing a capillary force gradient.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Fujioka et al. (US 6,050,984) is cited for showing a disposable diaper 11 folded laterally along line M-M in crotch region 19 with folded thickness H2 less than unfolded diaper thickness H1.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura C. Hill whose telephone number is 571-272-7137. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday (off every other Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tatyana Zalukaeva can be reached on 571-272-1115. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Laura C. Hill Examiner Art Unit 3761

LCH

TATYANA ZALUKAEVA SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Sdalle &